A close-up photograph of a person's hand holding a piece of aged, yellowish parchment. The parchment has a hand-drawn map on it, featuring a central red star-like symbol, several arrows pointing in different directions, and various lines and markings. The background is a soft, out-of-focus light blue.

# Literatur lesen und verstehen

HS Experimentelles Arbeiten in der Sprachverarbeitung

Nils Reiter

[nils.reiter@uni-koeln.de](mailto:nils.reiter@uni-koeln.de)

20. Oktober 2022

## Pro/Contra

*Wissenschaftliche Beiträge sollten so geschrieben werden,  
dass eine breite Öffentlichkeit sie versteht.*

# Pro/Contra

*Wissenschaftliche Beiträge sollten so geschrieben werden, dass eine breite Öffentlichkeit sie versteht.*

## Ablauf

1. Je zwei Gruppen sammeln Argumente für Pro/Contra
2. Argumente werden anschließend im Plenum vorgestellt

# Pro/Contra

*Wissenschaftliche Beiträge sollten so geschrieben werden, dass eine breite Öffentlichkeit sie versteht.*

## Ablauf

1. Je zwei Gruppen sammeln Argumente für Pro/Contra
2. Argumente werden anschließend im Plenum vorgestellt



# Today

- ▶ What is scientific literature and how is it created?
- ▶ How to read scientific (NLP) literature

# Section 1

## Scientific Literature

# Computational Linguistics Literature

- ▶ Computational Linguistics (CL): A young field
  - ▶ Compared to philosophy, physics, ...
- ▶ Interdisciplinary between computer science and linguistics
  - ▶ Pendular movement
  - ▶ Currently: Strongly in the CS field



## Computational Linguistics Literature

- ▶ Computational Linguistics (CL): A young field
  - ▶ Compared to philosophy, physics, ...
- ▶ Interdisciplinary between computer science and linguistics
  - ▶ Pendular movement
  - ▶ Currently: Strongly in the CS field

## Core Requirements for Scientific Literature

- ▶ Quality assurance

## Computational Linguistics Literature

- ▶ Computational Linguistics (CL): A young field
  - ▶ Compared to philosophy, physics, ...
- ▶ Interdisciplinary between computer science and linguistics
  - ▶ Pendular movement
  - ▶ Currently: Strongly in the CS field

## Core Requirements for Scientific Literature

- ▶ Quality assurance
  - ▶ Reviewing

## Computational Linguistics Literature

- ▶ Computational Linguistics (CL): A young field
  - ▶ Compared to philosophy, physics, ...
- ▶ Interdisciplinary between computer science and linguistics
  - ▶ Pendular movement
  - ▶ Currently: Strongly in the CS field

## Core Requirements for Scientific Literature

- ▶ Quality assurance
  - ▶ Reviewing
- ▶ Sustainability and (in principle) accessibility
  - ▶ It should be possible to access a work in the distant future

## Computational Linguistics Literature

- ▶ Computational Linguistics (CL): A young field
  - ▶ Compared to philosophy, physics, ...
- ▶ Interdisciplinary between computer science and linguistics
  - ▶ Pendular movement
  - ▶ Currently: Strongly in the CS field

## Core Requirements for Scientific Literature

- ▶ Quality assurance
  - ▶ Reviewing
- ▶ Sustainability and (in principle) accessibility
  - ▶ It should be possible to access a work in the distant future
- ▶ Publishing houses ensure both (in theory)

# Peer Review

- ▶ Scientific articles are reviewed by other researchers/scientists
- ▶ Blindness
  - ▶ Double blind: Reviewer and authors are anonymous
  - ▶ Single blind: Only reviewers are anonymous
  - ▶ Zero blind / “Open Review”: No one is anonymous
- ▶ Different fields have different preferences
  - ▶ and different people have different preferences
  - ▶ CL: Double-blind (recently reaffirmed)
    - ▶ But: Preprint servers are an important venue in machine learning!

## Publication Venues

- ▶ Monographs (books): Except for theses, typically not reviewed
- ▶ Journal articles: Peer reviewed (details are journal-dependent)
- ▶ Conference articles: Peer reviewed (details are conference-dependent)
  - ▶ “Proceedings” = Collection of all conference articles

## Publication Venues


- ▶ Monographs (books): Except for theses, typically not reviewed
- ▶ Journal articles: Peer reviewed (details are journal-dependent)
- ▶ Conference articles: Peer reviewed (details are conference-dependent)
  - ▶ “Proceedings” = Collection of all conference articles

## Lengths and “Abstracts”

- ▶ Length varies
  - ▶ Conference articles  $< 10$  pages
  - ▶ Journal articles ca. 10 – 50 pages
- ▶ “Abstract”
  - ▶ Literal meaning: A summary of an article
  - ▶ Conference abstracts (DHd/DH)  $\simeq$  short articles

# Relevant Publication Venues for CL

## Conferences

- ▶ ACL / NAACL / EACL / EMNLP: Conferences (double-blind)
  - ▶ Association for Computational Linguistics
  - ▶  ACL 2022: 604 long papers – ACL 2002: 65 papers

[aclanthology.org](https://aclanthology.org)



# Relevant Publication Venues for CL

## Conferences

- ▶ ACL / NAACL / EACL / EMNLP: Conferences (double-blind)
  - ▶ Association for Computational Linguistics
  - ▶ ⚠ ACL 2022: 604 long papers – ACL 2002: 65 papers
  - ▶ Co-located workshops with more specific focus
    - ▶ “Workshop” in CL: Mini conference
    - ▶ Workshops associated with \*CL conferences also in anthology
- ▶ COLING, KONVENS: Smaller conferences

[aclanthology.org](http://aclanthology.org)

# Relevant Publication Venues for CL

## Journals

- ▶ CL: Uncommon
- ▶ Computational Linguistics [direct.mit.edu/coli](https://direct.mit.edu/coli)
  - ▶ Also in anthology: <https://aclanthology.org/venues/cl/>
  - ▶ Fully open access
- ▶ Digital Scholarship in the Humanities (Literary and Linguistic Computing) [academic.oup.com/dsh](https://academic.oup.com/dsh)
  - ▶ Partially open access via UB
- ▶ Journal of Computational Literary Studies [jcls.io](https://jcls.io)

# Relevant Publication Venues for CL

## Preprint-Servers

- ▶ Origin: Share preprints freely
- ▶ No review: Everyone can upload anything
- ▶ Popular for machine learning advances
- ▶ Many papers are later/also submitted to a conference

arxiv.org

# Relevant Publication Venues for CL

## Others

- ▶ DFG (funding agency): No reviewing → no worth
- ▶ Blogs – it depends on their authors
- ▶ Sammelbände / collections

## Section 2

### Reading CL Literature

## How to Read?

- ▶ Reading scientific literature is work
- ▶ A work environment is important
- ▶ Reading multiple times is often necessary

## How to Read?

- ▶ Reading scientific literature is work
- ▶ A work environment is important
- ▶ Reading multiple times is often necessary

## References

- ▶ Scientific references consist in:
  - ▶ Markers in the text (e. g., “Doe (2015)” oder “[3]”)
  - ▶ Bibliographic details at the end
- ▶ Different styles
  - ▶ CL: author-year
- ▶ URLs or DOIs
  - ▶ <https://www.example.com>
  - ▶ 10.1515/9783110693973 ⇒ <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110693973>

## Scientific References

Daniel Preoțiu-Pietro/Mihaela Gaman/Nikolaos Aletras (2019). “Automatically Identifying Complaints in Social Media”. In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 5008–5019. DOI: 10.18653/v1/P19-1495. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1495.pdf>



## Scientific References

Daniel Preoțiuc-Pietro/Mihaela Gaman/Nikolaos Aletras (2019). “Automatically Identifying Complaints in Social Media”. In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 5008–5019. DOI: 10.18653/v1/P19-1495. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1495.pdf>

Axel Pichler/Nils Reiter (2020). “Reflektierte Textanalyse”. In: *Reflektierte Algorithmische Textanalyse. Interdisziplinäre(s) Arbeiten in der CRETA-Werkstatt*. Ed. by Nils Reiter/Axel Pichler/Jonas Kuhn. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 43–60. DOI: 10.1515/9783110693973-003

## Scientific References

Daniel Preoțiuc-Pietro/Mihaela Gaman/Nikolaos Aletras (2019). “Automatically Identifying Complaints in Social Media”. In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 5008–5019. DOI: [10.18653/v1/P19-1495](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P19-1495). URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1495.pdf>

Axel Pichler/Nils Reiter (2020). “Reflektierte Textanalyse”. In: *Reflektierte Algorithmische Textanalyse. Interdisziplinäre(s) Arbeiten in der CRETA-Werkstatt*. Ed. by Nils Reiter/Axel Pichler/Jonas Kuhn. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 43–60. DOI: [10.1515/9783110693973-003](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110693973-003)

Bei Yu (2014). “Language and gender in Congressional speech”. In: *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 29.1, pp. 118–132. DOI: [10.1093/llc/fqs073](https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqs073). eprint: <http://llc.oxfordjournals.org/content/29/1/118.full.pdf+html>. URL: <http://llc.oxfordjournals.org/content/29/1/118.abstract>

# Hints

- ▶ Skim first, read closely later
  - ▶ Understand big picture first, details later
- ▶ Read selectively (abstract, conclusions, method, ...)
- ▶ Take notes
- ▶ Read in useful order
- ▶ Don't read in one sitting

# Hints

- ▶ Skim first, read closely later
  - ▶ Understand big picture first, details later
- ▶ Read selectively (abstract, conclusions, method, ...)
- ▶ Take notes
- ▶ Read in useful order
- ▶ Don't read in one sitting
- ▶ Bibliography management tools are convenient to organize notes
  - ▶ E.g., zotero, bibtex, citavi

## Guiding Questions

You should be able to answer (at least) these questions

- ▶ What was the task/the problem to be solved?
- ▶ What is the new aspect compared to previous research?
- ▶ How well did it work?
  - ⚠ Authors have an interest to highlight success and neglect failure
- ▶ Which experiments were made to measure it?
  - ▶ Which data and evaluation metrics were used?

## Critical Reflection of Literature

- ▶ Was there an easier way to achieve similar performance?
- ▶ How many assumptions are incorporated (maybe implicit)?
  - ▶ What would be needed to redo it from scratch?
  - ▶ What would be needed to adapt it to another language/genre/domain?
- ▶ Why did the authors did it the way they did?
- ▶ Can the experiments actually show what the authors claim they show?
- ▶ Are the experiments “correctly” interpreted? Are there alternative interpretations that are just as reasonable?
- ▶ Is there evidence to generalize results to “the language”, “the text type newspaper”, ...?









## References I

-  Pichler, Axel/Nils Reiter (2020). “Reflektierte Textanalyse”. In: *Reflektierte Algorithmische Textanalyse. Interdisziplinäre(s) Arbeiten in der CRETA-Werkstatt*. Ed. by Nils Reiter/Axel Pichler/Jonas Kuhn. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 43–60. DOI: 10.1515/9783110693973-003.
-  Preoțiu-Pietro, Daniel/Mihaela Gaman/Nikolaos Aletras (2019). “Automatically Identifying Complaints in Social Media”. In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 5008–5019. DOI: 10.18653/v1/P19-1495. URL: <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1495.pdf>.
-  Yu, Bei (2014). “Language and gender in Congressional speech”. In: *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 29.1, pp. 118–132. DOI: 10.1093/llc/fqs073. eprint: <http://llc.oxfordjournals.org/content/29/1/118.full.pdf+html>. URL: <http://llc.oxfordjournals.org/content/29/1/118.abstract>.