

Transformer Models and Related Work

HS Experimentelles Arbeiten in der Sprachverarbeitung

Nils Reiter
nils.reiter@uni-koeln.de

November 10, 2022

Section 1

Transformer Models

Introduction

- ▶ Transformer: A breakthrough in natural language processing
- ▶ BERT (Google): First successful transformer model
- ▶ RoBERTa (Facebook): Gradual improvement over BERT

Devlin et al. (2019)

Liu et al. (2019)

Introduction

- ▶ Transformer: A breakthrough in natural language processing
- ▶ BERT (Google): First successful transformer model Devlin et al. (2019)
- ▶ RoBERTa (Facebook): Gradual improvement over BERT Liu et al. (2019)
- ▶ General idea
 - ▶ Transformer architecture
 - ▶ Process whole input at once (max. 512 tokens, = bidirectional)
 - ▶ Pre-training and fine-tuning on different tasks

Process Whole Input

- ▶ Classical language modeling
 - ▶ n -gram models, with $n \leq 4$
 - ▶ Context: Only previous tokens
- ▶ Bidirectional models
 - ▶ Context: Both directions
 - ▶ Much longer distances

Pre-Training and Fine-Tuning

- ▶ Transformer models are trained on huge data sets
- ▶ Training one from scratch requires significant resources (time/money)
- ▶ Pre-trained models are shared freely
- ▶ Recipe: Take a pre-trained model and fine-tune it on your task
 - ▶ Pre-trained model contains an abstract language representation

Pre-Training and Fine-Tuning

- ▶ Transformer models are trained on huge data sets
- ▶ Training one from scratch requires significant resources (time/money)
- ▶ Pre-trained models are shared freely
- ▶ Recipe: Take a pre-trained model and fine-tune it on your task
 - ▶ Pre-trained model contains an abstract language representation
- ▶ Fine-tuning
 - ▶ Any language-related task!

Pre-Training and Fine-Tuning

- ▶ Transformer models are trained on huge data sets
- ▶ Training one from scratch requires significant resources (time/money)
- ▶ Pre-trained models are shared freely
- ▶ Recipe: Take a pre-trained model and fine-tune it on your task
 - ▶ Pre-trained model contains an abstract language representation
- ▶ Fine-tuning
 - ▶ Any language-related task!
- ▶ In contrast: Classical machine learning
 - ▶ Train everything at once
 - ▶ All that the model learns is taken from one data set

Transformer Training Tasks

Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

- ▶ Sentence-wise
- ▶ 15% of the tokens are “masked” by a special token
- ▶ Model predicts these, having access to all other tokens

Transformer Training Tasks

Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

- ▶ Sentence-wise
- ▶ 15% of the tokens are “masked” by a special token
- ▶ Model predicts these, having access to all other tokens

Next sentence prediction (NSP)

- ▶ Two (masked) sentences are concatenated
- ▶ Model has to predict whether second sentence follows on the first or not
- ▶ Not used in RoBERTa

Parameters and Hyperparameters

- ▶ Parameters: Learned during training
 - ▶ Weights between neurons, tree structure, thresholds, ...
- ▶ Hyperparameters: Our decisions
 - ▶ Choice of ML algorithm
 - ▶ Network layout
 - ▶ Feature set
 - ▶ ...

Multi-Task-Learning

- ▶ Old idea: Some tasks may be solved at once, because they are related
 - ▶ E.g.: pos-tagging and lemmatisation
- ▶ A single model that predicts two categories
- ▶ Easy to integrate in neural networks

Section 2

Unsere Experimente

Themen

- A Detecting and Rating Humor and Offense
- B Patronizing and Condescending Language Detection
- C Named Entity Recognition and Classification (on historical newspapers)

Themen

- A Detecting and Rating Humor and Offense
- B Patronizing and Condescending Language Detection
- C Named Entity Recognition and Classification (on historical newspapers)

Heute: Erstes brainstorming: Worauf wollt Ihr Euch fokussieren? Was müsstet Ihr dafür tun?

Section 3

Related Work

Related Work I

- ▶ NLP-Papiere dokumentieren den Forschungsstand (“State of the Art”, “Related Work”)
- ▶ Beginn einer jeden Projektarbeit: Recherche zum Forschungsstand

Warum?

- ▶ Forschungsstand stellt Kontext her: Was kann als bekannt vorausgesetzt werden?
- ▶ Wissenschaftliche Artikel leisten einen neuen Beitrag
 - ▶ Beitrag muss im Verhältnis zum Forschungsstand sichtbar werden
- ▶ Vollständigkeit / Adäquatheit der Auswahl
- ▶ Unvollständiger Forschungsstand kann zum Ablehnen einer Veröffentlichung führen

Was sucht man?

Etablierter Task

- ▶ Welche Ansätze lösen den gleichen Task?
- ▶ Welche (annotierten) Datensätze wurden publiziert? Unterscheiden die sich vielleicht in den Details?

Was sucht man?

Etablierter Task

- ▶ Welche Ansätze lösen den gleichen Task?
- ▶ Welche (annotierten) Datensätze wurden publiziert? Unterscheiden die sich vielleicht in den Details?

Neuer Task

- ▶ Gibt es Ansätze, ein ähnliches Problem zu lösen?
 - ▶ Task-Typen (Textklassifikation, Sequence labeling, ...) helfen bei der Einschätzung was "ähnlich" ist
- ▶ Gibt es Datensätze, die die Bearbeitung des Problems erleichtern?

Wo sucht man?

- ▶ ACL Anthology
- ▶ Survey-Artikel
 - ▶ Übersichtsartikel, die den Stand (zu einem Zeitpunkt) zusammenfassen und systematisieren
- ▶ “Related-Work”-Abschnitte von Artikeln
- ▶ Google Scholar

Wo sucht man?

- ▶ ACL Anthology
- ▶ Survey-Artikel
 - ▶ Übersichtsartikel, die den Stand (zu einem Zeitpunkt) zusammenfassen und systematisieren
- ▶ “Related-Work”-Abschnitte von Artikeln
- ▶ Google Scholar

Im Regelfall **nicht** zitierfähig

- ▶ YouTube-Videos
- ▶ Blogs
- ▶ Webseiten von Firmen
- ▶ Studentische Arbeiten

Hausaufgabe 3

5

- ▶ Recherchieren Sie den Forschungsstand zum Thema "Fake News Detection"
- ▶ Erstellen Sie eine kuratierte Liste von mindestens 10 wiss. Veröffentlichungen
- ▶ Die Liste enthält (in Stichpunkten): Angaben zur Methodik, verwendete Daten, Ergebnisse, besondere Beobachtungen
- ▶ Kuratiert
 - ▶ Nicht wahllos, sondern die "interessanten" Beiträge zum Thema
 - ▶ Nur wissenschaftliche Veröffentlichungen