Recap

Word2Vec

- Method to represent words in vector space
- Train a neural network on a certain task, extract word weights
- Tasks: Skip-gram and continuous bag of words

Word2Vec (Missing Details) Sprachverarbeitung (VL + \ddot{U})

Nils Reiter

June 27, 2023



Two tasks



Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)

Context words used to predict a single word

Skip-Gram

One word used to predict its context

Word2Vec (Missing Details)



Intuition: »a word is likely to occur near the target if its embedding is similar to the target embedding« Jurafsky/Martin (JM20, 112)



- Intuition: »a word is likely to occur near the target if its embedding is similar to the target embedding« Jurafsky/Martin (JM20, 112)
- Classifier:
 - Predict for (t, c) wether c are really context words for t
 - Probability of \vec{t} and \vec{c} being positive examples: $q(+|\vec{t},\vec{c})$
 - Classifier training requires a loss function (as in logistic regression)

Loss Function

- Maximize <u>p(+|t, c)</u> (positive samples)
 Minimize <u>p(+|t, c_n)</u> (negative samples) => Max. p(-|t, c_n)

Loss Function

- Maximize p(+|t, c) (positive samples)
- Minimize $p(+|t, c_n)$ (negative samples)

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{(t,c) \\ (\theta: \text{ Concatenation of all } \vec{t}, \ \vec{c}, \ \vec{c}_n)} \log p(-|t, c_n)$$

Loss Function

- Maximize p(+|t, c) (positive samples)
- Minimize $p(+|t, c_n)$ (negative samples)

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{(t,c)} \log p(+|t,c) + \sum_{(t,c_n)} \log p(-|t,c_n)$$

(θ : Concatenation of all \vec{t} , \vec{c} , \vec{c}_n)

- How to calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$?
- Where to we get negative samples?

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$?

- Metric that takes two vectors and returns a similarity score
- Linear algebra: dot product (»Skalarprodukt«)

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$?

- Metric that takes two vectors and returns a similarity score
- Linear algebra: dot product (»Skalarprodukt«)

$$ec{a}\cdotec{b} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i b_i$$

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$? Dot product

$$\vec{a} = (0, 1, 1]$$
$$\vec{b} = (1, 1, 0.5)$$
$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} =$$

$$(0 \cdot A) + (A \cdot A) + (A \cdot 0,5)$$

= 0 + A + 0,5
= A,5

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$? Dot product

$$\vec{a} = [0, 1, 1]$$

 $\vec{b} = [1, 1, 0.5]$
 $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 1.5$

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$? The Logistic Function



How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$?

$$p(+|t,c) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}} dt \text{ product}$$

$$p(-|t,c) = 1-p(+|t,c) \neq 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}} = \frac{e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}}$$

How to Calculate p(+|t, c) and $p(-|t, c_n)$?

$$p(+|t,c) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}}$$

$$p(-|t,c) = 1-p(+|t,c) = 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}} = \frac{e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}}{1+e^{-\vec{t}\cdot\vec{c}}}$$

More than one context word

Assumption: They are independent, allowing multiplication

$$p(+|t, c_{1:k}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\vec{t} \cdot \vec{c}_i}}$$

Negative examples

Training a classifier needs negative examples, i.e., words that are not in the context of each other

- Negative examples
 - Training a classifier needs negative examples, i.e., words that are not in the context of each other
- Negative sampling
 - For every positive tuple (t, c) we add k negative tuples
 - ▶ Negative tuple (t, c_n) , with c_n randomly selected (and $t \neq c_n$)

- Negative examples
 - Training a classifier needs negative examples, i.e., words that are not in the context of each other
- Negative sampling
 - For every positive tuple (t, c), we add k negative tuples
 - ▶ Negative tuple (t, c_n) , with c_n randomly selected (and $t \neq c_n$)
 - Select noise words according to their weighted frequency

•
$$p_{\alpha}(w) = \frac{count(w)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{w'} count(w')^{\alpha}}$$

► This leads to rare words being more frequently selected, frequent words less

- Negative examples
 - Training a classifier needs negative examples, i.e., words that are not in the context of each other
- Negative sampling
 - For every positive tuple (t, c), we add k negative tuples
 - ▶ Negative tuple (t, c_n) , with c_n randomly selected (and $t \neq c_n$)
 - Select noise words according to their weighted frequency

•
$$p_{\alpha}(w) = \frac{count(w)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{w'} count(w')^{\alpha}}$$

- This leads to rare words being more frequently selected, frequent words less
- \blacktriangleright Two new 'parameters' on this slide: k and lpha
 - They have a different status than θ (the parameters we want to learn)
 - Therefore: Hyperparameters

Remarks and observations

Each word is used twice, with different roles

- As target word (for predicting its context)
- As context word (to be predicted from another target word)
- Different options: Only use one embedding, combine them by addition or concatenation

Remarks and observations

Each word is used twice, with different roles

- As target word (for predicting its context)
- As context word (to be predicted from another target word)
- ▶ Different options: Only use one embedding, combine them by addition or concatenation

Matrices

- Conceptually, it is not hugely important how the embeddings are stored in detail
- But for the implementation because of efficiency
- All target vectors are stored in matrix W (word matrix)
- All context vectors are stored in matrix C (context matrix)

$$\bullet \ \theta = (W, C)$$

Section 1

Bias in Embeddings

Bias in Embeddings

- Important discussion: How biased are embeddings?
- ► And related: How can we measure it?

Bias in Embeddings

- Important discussion: How biased are embeddings?
- And related: How can we measure it?
- WEAT: Word-Embedding Association Test

Caliskan et al. (2017)

Inspired by Implicit Association Test, used in pychology/psycho linguistics

Greenwald et al. (1998)

Measures association between word groups

- Two sets of target words (X, Y)
 - E.g., programmer/scientist/engineer vs. nurse/teacher/librarian
- ▶ Two sets of attribute words (A, B)
 - E.g., man/male vs. woman/female

- Two sets of target words (X, Y)
 - E.g., programmer/scientist/engineer vs. nurse/teacher/librarian
- Two sets of attripute words (A, B)
 - E.g., man/male vs. woman/female
- ▶ Null hypothesis: Target word sets are equally similar to both attribute words

- ► Two sets of target words (X, Y)
 - E.g., programmer/scientist/engineer vs. nurse/teacher/librarian
- ▶ Two sets of attribute words (A, B)
 - E.g., man/male vs. woman/female
- ▶ Null hypothesis: Target word sets are equally similar to both attribute words

$$s(X, Y, A, B) = \sum_{x \in X} s(x, A, B) - \sum_{y \in Y} s(y, A, B)$$

$$s(w, A, B) = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} \cos(\vec{w}, \vec{a}) - \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \cos(\vec{w}, \vec{b})$$

$$\begin{split} s(X, Y, A, B) &= \sum_{x \in X} s(x, A, B) - \sum_{y \in Y} s(y, A, B) \\ s(w, A, B) &= \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a \in A} \cos(\vec{w}, \vec{a}) - \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{b \in B} \cos(\vec{w}, \vec{b}) \end{split}$$

In other words, s(w, A, B) measures the association of w with the attribute, and s(X, Y, A, B) measures the differential association of the two sets of target words with the attribute. (Caliskan et al., 2017, 184)

Example



- Flowers (aster, clover, ...) vs. Insects (ant, caterpillar, ...)
- Pleasant (caress, freedom, ...) vs. Unpleasant (abuse, crash, ...)

Offensive bias

- Science (science, technology, ...) vs. Arts (poetry, art, ...)
- Male (brother, father, ...) vs. Female (sister, mother, ...)

Exercise

The word sets used by Caliskan et al., 2017 can be found here:

https://www.science.org/action/downloadSupplement?doi=10.1126%2Fscience.aal4230&file=caliskan-sm.pdf, two files are stored in /teaching/summer-2023/sprachverarbeitung/data/weat1.txt resp. weat8.txt.

- Identify (small) sets of words for which you expect bias in the embeddings you've trained last week. Verify that the words actually are in the embeddings.
- Perform a word embeddings association test.