Wissenschaftlicher Umgang mit Literatur

HS Die Digitale Gesellschaft

Nils Reiter, nils.reiter@uni-koeln.de

October 17, 2023

Sitzungsthemen und -zuordnungen

Datum	Thema	Person(en)
14.11.2023	Wahlcomputer	Werner, Kislitcyn
21.11.2023	Digitales Erbe	Hollmann
28.11.2023	Chatkontrolle	Diefenthal, Laschewski
05.12.2023	Digitale Gewalt gegen Frauen	Boisvert, Haschke 📍
12.12.2023	DSGVO	Koloskov —
19.12.2023	Online-Durchsuchung	Nguyen, Chen
09.01.2024	LLMs und Urheberrecht	Bäumker, Daude-Eichholtz



Was sollten die über wissenschaftliche Literatur wissen?

Section 1

Overview

Reiter Literature 4/35

Scientific Literature

Two core requirements

- Quality assurance reviewing
- 2 Long-term availability archiving

Peer Review

- Scientific articles are reviewed by other researchers/scientists
- Blindness
 - ▶ Double blind: Reviewer and authors are anonymous
 - Single blind: Only reviewers are anonymous
 - ► Zero blind / "Open Review": No one is anonymous
- Different fields have different preferences
 - and different people have different preferences
 - CL: Double-blind (recently reaffirmed)
 - But: Preprint servers are an important venue in machine learning!

Publication Venues

- ▶ Monographs (books): Except for theses, typically not reviewed
- ▶ Journal articles: Peer reviewed (details are journal-dependent)
- ► Conference articles: Peer reviewed (details are conference-dependent)
 - ▶ "Proceedings" = Collection of all conference articles

Publication Venues

- Monographs (books): Except for theses, typically not reviewed
- Journal articles: Peer reviewed (details are journal-dependent)
- ► Conference articles: Peer reviewed (details are conference-dependent)
 - ► "Proceedings" = Collection of all conference articles

Lengths and "Abstracts"

- Length varies
 - ightharpoonup Conference articles < 10 pages
 - ▶ Journal articles ca. 10 50 pages
- "Abstract"
 - Literal meaning: A summary of an article
 - ► Conference abstracts (DHd/DH) ≃ short articles

Relevant Publication Venues for CL

- Conferences
 - ACL / NAACL / EACL / EMNLP: Conferences (double-blind)
 - Association for Computational Linguistics
 - ▲ ACL 2022: 604 long papers ACL 2002: 65 papers

aclanthology.org

Relevant Publication Venues for CL

- Conferences
 - ► ACL / NAACL / EACL / EMNLP: Conferences (double-blind)
 - Association for Computational Linguistics
 - ▲ ACL 2022: 604 long papers ACL 2002: 65 papers
 - Co-located workshops with more specific focus
 - "Workshop" in CL: Mini conference
 - ► Workshops associated with *CL conferences also in anthology
 - ► COLING, KONVENS, LREC: Smaller conferences



Relevant Publication Venues for CL

- Conferences
 - ACL / NAACL / EACL / EMNLP: Conferences (double-blind)
 - Association for Computational Linguistics
 - ▲ ACL 2022: 604 long papers ACL 2002: 65 papers
 - Co-located workshops with more specific focus
 - "Workshop" in CL: Mini conference
 - ► Workshops associated with *CL conferences also in anthology
 - COLING, KONVENS, LREC: Smaller conferences
- Journals: Uncommon
 - Computational Linguistics
 - Also in anthology: https://aclanthology.org/venues/cl/
 - ► Fully open access

aclanthology.org

direct.mit.edu/coli

Relevant Publication Venues for DH

- ► New field, not yet fully established
- ▶ Venues from original H discipline (e.g., Journal of Literary Theory)
- ► DH, DHd
- ▶ Digital Scholarship in the Humanities (Literary and Linguistic Computing) academic.oup.com/dsh
 - Partially open access via UB
- ▶ Journal of Computational Literary Studies



- ightharpoonup DFG (funding agency): No reviewing ightarrow no worth
- Blogs it depends on their authors
- ► Sammelbände / collections



Preprint-Servers

- ► Origin: Share preprints freely
- ▶ No review: Everyone can upload anything
- ► Popular for machine learning innovations
- ▶ Many papers are later/also submitted to a conference

arxiv.org

Non-Scientific Literature

- Categories
 - ▶ All media for the general public (including newspapers and special interest journals)
 - E.g., Die Zeit, Segeln, GEO, ...
 - ▶ Blogs, YouTube channels and social media postings
 - E.g., spreeblick.com
 - Companies, lobby groups
 - Government publications

Non-Scientific Sources

- Is it ok to use non-scientific sources?
 - It depends
- ► When is it ok?
 - ▶ When we are explicitly dealing with public opinion or reception
 - ▶ When we are looking into other disciplines
 - ▶ When a scientific discourse on a topic does not exist
 - ▶ When the topic in question is not a scientific question
- What are better sources than others?
 - ► Sources with references
 - ► Sources with scientific references
 - Sources with (scientific) references that are correctly reproduced

Original/Primary Sources

- Scientific papers are usually written by the people who came up with the content
- Non-scientific sources are often mediated
- Original sources are better sources
 - ▶ Mediations may misrepresent the content substantially
- Examples
 - Press releases by universities about studies their researchers conducted
 - News articles about laws or decrees
 - Summaries of interviews

1 U

Finding Literature

- Specialised repositories
 - Computational Linguistics accommodational Linguistics
 - Digital Humanities DH Index
 - ► Generic preprints arxiv.org

· DH

- References of other papers
- ► Your library USB Köln
 - Don't underestimate the ebook collection!
- ► Search engines Google Scholar Semantic Scholar
 - ▲ Google finds a lot of non-scientific literature
- Wikipedia pages have often very good references

Section 2

Reading Literature Scientifically

How to Read?

- ► Reading literature is work
- ► A work environment is important
- ▶ Reading multiple times is often necessary

How to Read?

- Reading literature is work
- ► A work environment is important
- Reading multiple times is often necessary

References

- Scientific references consist in:
 - ► Markers in the text (e.g., "Doe (2015)" oder "[3]")
 - ► Bibliographic details at the end
- Different styles
 - CL/DH: author-year
- URLs or DOIs
 - https://www.example.com
 - ► 10.1515/9783110693973 ⇒ https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110693973

Guiding Questions for CL/technical DH Papers

You should be able to answer (at least) these questions

- What was the task/the problem to be solved?
- ▶ What is the new aspect compared to previous research?
- How well did it work?
 - A Authors have an interest to highlight success and neglect failure
- ▶ Which experiments were made to measure it?
 - Which data and evaluation metrics were used?

Critical Reflection of Technical Literature

- ▶ Was there an easier way to achieve similar performance?
- ▶ How many assumptions are incorporated (maybe implicit)?
 - ▶ What would be needed to redo it from scratch?
 - ▶ What would be needed to adapt it to another language/genre/domain?
- ▶ Why did the authors did it the way they did?
- Can the experiments actually show what the authors claim they show?
- Are the experiments "correctly" interpreted? Are there alternative interpretations that are just as reasonable?
- ▶ Is there evidence to generalize results to "the language", "the text type X", ...?

Reading Non-Scientific Literature

- ▶ Make a local copy, ideally with all the meta data
 - Noone guarantees that it is still there tomorrow
- ► Who wrote it and why?
- Do they know what they are writing about?
- What's the track record of the author/venue?
- Are author/venue involved in any way?

Section 3

Giving (Scientific) Talks

Group Exercise

Gut volbereitet sein

Guggaansk Robert Robert Fade

Nich answerdig lenn Frei reden - Wilht volleren

You're giving advice to younger students

- 1. What are the three most important recommendations on giving talks?
- 2. What should they avoid at all costs?
- 3. Do you have a game-changing tip?

Per ta

Nicht a nel in pos and Folia Structur - Sandail Will In lay son oder Stendle

Kntishe Cadarbe

Nicht an Test and - Visually gestall

Three F	nree Recommendations											

То	Avoi	d							

Oth	er T	ips							

Outlining the Topic

- ▶ What do you want (and need) to say?
- ► Focus
 - ▶ The talk should have a clear focus. What's the context of the talk? What's the topic of the course? A paper may contain parts that are not relevant in the given context and vice versa.

Outlining the Topic

- ▶ What do you want (and need) to say?
- ► Focus
 - ► The talk should have a clear focus. What's the context of the talk? What's the topic of the course? A paper may contain parts that are not relevant in the given context and vice versa.
- Understanding
 - The talk should be understandable. Explain and introduce as much as needed, but not more. In university seminars, imagine the others as knowing as much as you **before** starting to read.

Outlining the Topic

▶ What do you want (and need) to say?

► Focus

► The talk should have a clear focus. What's the context of the talk? What's the topic of the course? A paper may contain parts that are not relevant in the given context and vice versa.

Understanding

► The talk should be understandable. Explain and introduce as much as needed, but not more. In university seminars, imagine the others as knowing as much as you **before** starting to read.

Structure

- Divide the talk in parts and subparts. The structure of a paper is not necessarily a good structure for the talk (but can be).
- Do **not** start making slides now.

Outlining of the Topic

Changing perspectives

- ▶ Write your outline down, but think of it as a draft!
- ► See your talk from the audience's perspective
 - ▶ What can you expect them to know? What did you need to look up?
- ► Get (honest) feedback
 - ▶ But: Your talk, your decision, your responsibility
- Make necessary changes
- Repeat the process

Making Slides

Once you know what to say ...

- ▶ Use a presentation tool: LaTeX+Beamer, PowerPoint, Keynote, OpenOffice, ...
- ▶ Use a simple built-in theme
- ▶ Make the structure visible to the audience
 - ► Head/footlines, section break slides, etc.
- Avoid animations, effects etc.
- No screenshots of tables and figures
 - Recreate them in the presentation program (for readability)
- ► Scientific talks have references and a bibliography at the end

(Reiter, 2021)

▶ But only show it when someone asks

Making Slides

Once you know what to say ...

- ▶ Use a presentation tool: LaTeX+Beamer, PowerPoint, Keynote, OpenOffice, ...
- ► Use a simple built-in theme
- Make the structure visible to the audience
 - ► Head/footlines, section break slides, etc.
- Avoid animations, effects etc.
- No screenshots of tables and figures
 - ▶ Recreate them in the presentation program (for readability)
- Scientific talks have references and a bibliography at the end

(Reiter, 2021)

- But only show it when someone asks
- ▶ No running text on slides: The audience **either** reads **or** listens.

Preparing the Actual Talk

= Rehearsing

- ► Go through the slides
- Speak loudly what you want to say
- Note the points where you stumbled or had problems finding words
- Change the slides accordingly
- ▶ Write down what you want to say at least in keywords
- Maybe: Script the first few sentences
- Pay attention to the time

Discussion Preparation

- Do not put supporting information in the main presentation
 - ▶ E.g., charts, tables, long examples or detailed numbers that you do not talk about
- ▶ Add slides to your presentation that are useful for the discussion
- ▶ The slides should be in the same file, but at the end

Discussion Preparation

- Do not put supporting information in the main presentation
 - ▶ E.g., charts, tables, long examples or detailed numbers that you do not talk about
- ▶ Add slides to your presentation that are useful for the discussion
- ▶ The slides should be in the same file, but at the end
- Discussion
 - What are the weak points that could come up as a question?

Giving the Talk

- ► Stage fright
 - ► Inability to breathe
 - ► Inability to stand up
 - ► Inability to operate brain
- ► That's normal and to be expected



Giving the Talk

- Stage fright
 - Inability to breathe
 - Inability to stand up
 - Inability to operate brain
- ► That's normal and to be expected

What to do about it

- ► Be prepared for it
- Avoid waiting in front of the audience
- Imagine the feeling afterwards
- Script the beginning
- ► Try out what works for you

Be Seen and Heard

- ▶ Don't talk to the wall, window or computer
- ▶ Choose someone in the back (ideally, a nodder) to talk to
- Make breaks for questions
- ► Finish on time!







References I