<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# Machine Learning 1: Naive Bayes VL Sprachliche Informationsverarbeitung

#### Nils Reiter nils.reiter@uni-koeln.de

November 21, 2024 Winter term 2024/25



## Hausaufgabe 2

- $\blacktriangleright$  Reden von Politiker: innen herunterladen
- $\blacktriangleright$  Type-Token-Ratio berechnen
- $\blacktriangleright$  Was kam raus?

## Hausaufgabe 2

- ▶ Reden von Politiker: innen herunterladen
- $\blacktriangleright$  Type-Token-Ratio berechnen
- $\blacktriangleright$  Was kam raus?

#### Meine Kommentare zu den Ergebnissen

- $\triangleright$  Absolute Pfade in Programmcode  $\odot$
- $\triangleright$  Setzen Sie keine Screenshots von Programmcode in Ihre Dokumente  $\odot$
- ► Je länger der Text, desto geringer die TTR deswegen besser STTR verwenden
- **IFTR** kann eine interessante Unterschiede zeigen, aber meistens in Kombination mit anderen Indikatoren
- $\blacktriangleright$  Lexikalische Varianz interagiert mit den Inhalten

# SHK-Stelle am Bundesinstitut für Berufsbildung (BIBB)

Informationsextraktion aus Stellenanzeigen

- Mehrere Millionen Stellenanzeigen sollen mit Informationen zu Beruf, Tätigkeitsprofil und Kompetenzen angereichert werden
- ▶ Modellentwicklung mithilfe von LLMs auf hauseigener Serverinfrastruktur
- $\blacktriangleright$  ab Frühjahr 2025
- $\blacktriangleright$  Umfang: 19 Stunden/Woche
- Gehaltseinstufung: TVÖD-Bund E6
- Befristung: 2 Jahre
- I weitere Informationen: **kai.krueger@bibb.de** Tel.: **+49 (0) 228 – 107 1580**

### Introduction

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic classification algorithm
- $\blacktriangleright$  Makes independence assumption about features 'naive'
- 

I Reading Jurafsky/Martin [\(2023,](#page-68-0) Ch. 4)

### Introduction

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic classification algorithm
- $\blacktriangleright$  Makes independence assumption about features 'naive'

### I Reading Jurafsky/Martin [\(2023,](#page-68-0) Ch. 4)

 $\triangleright$  Nice intro to Bayesian statistics by Matt Parker and Hannah Fry Parker/Fry [\(2019\)](#page-68-1)  $\frac{\text{Yc} \cdot \text{Yc} \cdot \text$ 

# <span id="page-6-0"></span>Section 1

**[Probabilities](#page-6-0)** 

### Basics: Cards



- $\triangleright$  32 cards  $\Omega$  (sample space)
- **►** 4 'colors':  $C = \{\clubsuit, \spadesuit, \diamondsuit, \heartsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  8 values:  $V = \{7, 8, 9, 10, J, Q, K, A\}$
- Individual cards ('outcomes') are denoted with value and color:  $8\heartsuit$

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

• "We draw a heart eight" 
$$
- E = \{8\heartsuit\}
$$

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a queen"

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ "We draw a queen" } - E = \{Q\clubsuit, Q\spadesuit, Q\diamondsuit, Q\heartsuit\}
$$

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a queen"  $E = \{Q\clubsuit, Q\spadesuit, Q\diamondsuit, Q\heartsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight or diamond ten"

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a queen"  $E = \{Q\clubsuit, Q\spadesuit, Q\diamondsuit, Q\heartsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight or diamond ten"  $E = \{8\%, 10\%\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw any card"

#### Events

- $\triangleright$  Generally, we draw cards from a (well shuffled) deck
- $\triangleright$  We define what events we are interested in
- An event can be any subset of the sample space  $\Omega$ 
	- There are  $2^{|\Omega|}$  different subsets, i.e.,  $2^{|\Omega|}$  possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Events will be denoted with  $E$

- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight"  $E = \{8\heartsuit\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  "We draw card with a diamond"  $E = \{7 \diamondsuit, 8 \diamondsuit, 9 \diamondsuit, 10 \diamondsuit, J \diamondsuit, Q \diamondsuit, K \diamondsuit, A \diamondsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a queen"  $E = \{Q\clubsuit, Q\spadesuit, Q\diamondsuit, Q\heartsuit\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw a heart eight or diamond ten"  $E = \{8\%, 10\%\}$
- $\triangleright$  "We draw any card"  $E = \Omega$

#### **Probabilities**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Probability *p*(*E*): Likelihood, that a certain event (*E* ⊂ Ω) happens

- $\blacktriangleright$  0 < *p* < 1
- $p(E) = 0$ : Impossible event  $p(E) = 1$ : Certain event
- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = 0.000001$ : Very unlikely event

## **Basics**

#### **Probabilities**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Probability *p*(*E*): Likelihood, that a certain event (*E* ⊂ Ω) happens

- $\triangleright$  0  $\lt p \lt 1$
- $p(E) = 0$ : Impossible event  $p(E) = 1$ : Certain event
- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = 0.000001$ : Very unlikely event

- $\blacktriangleright$  If all outcomes are equally likely:  $p(E) = \frac{|E|}{|\Omega|}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $p({8\heartsuit}) = \frac{1}{32}$  $\blacktriangleright$   $p(\{9\clubsuit, 9\spadesuit, 9\diamondsuit, 9\heartsuit\}) = \frac{4}{32}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(\Omega) = 1$  (must happen, certain event)

#### Probability and Relative Frequency

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probability  $p$ : Theoretical concept, idealisation
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Expectation
- ▶ Relative Frequency *f* : Concrete measure
	- $\triangleright$  Normalised number of *observed* events
	- ► E.g., after 10 times drawing a card (with returning and shuffling), we counted the event ♦ eight times:  $f(\lbrace x \spadesuit \rbrace) = \frac{8}{10}$
- $\triangleright$  For large numbers of drawings, relative frequency approximates the probability

$$
\text{lim}_{\infty} f = p
$$

#### Probability and Relative Frequency

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probability  $p$ : Theoretical concept, idealisation
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Expectation
- ▶ Relative Frequency *f* : Concrete measure
	- $\triangleright$  Normalised number of *observed* events
	- ► E.g., after 10 times drawing a card (with returning and shuffling), we counted the event ♦ eight times:  $f(\lbrace x \spadesuit \rbrace) = \frac{8}{10}$
- $\triangleright$  For large numbers of drawings, relative frequency approximates the probability

$$
\text{lim}_{\infty} f = p
$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  In practice, we will often use relative frequencies as probabilities
- $\blacktriangleright$  This establishes assumptions:
	- $\triangleright$  Data set is representative of the real world
	- $\triangleright$  We make a lot of observations (the more, the better we approximate real probabilities)

#### Joint Probability (Independent Events)

- $\triangleright$  We are often interested in multiple events (and their relation)
- $\triangleright$  *E*: We draw 8 $\heartsuit$  two times in a row (putting the first card back)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>2</sub>: Second card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2) = \frac{1}{32} * \frac{1}{32} = 0.0156$

#### Joint Probability (Independent Events)

- $\triangleright$  We are often interested in multiple events (and their relation)
- $\triangleright$  *E*: We draw 8 $\heartsuit$  two times in a row (putting the first card back)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>2</sub>: Second card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2) = \frac{1}{32} * \frac{1}{32} = 0.0156$
- $\triangleright$  *E*: We draw  $\heartsuit$  two times in a row (putting the first card back)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is  $X\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>2</sub>: Second card is  $X\heartsuit$

$$
p(E) = p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2) = \frac{1}{4} * \frac{1}{4} = 0.0625
$$

#### Joint Probability (Independent Events)

- $\triangleright$  We are often interested in multiple events (and their relation)
- $\triangleright$  *E*: We draw 8 $\heartsuit$  two times in a row (putting the first card back)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>2</sub>: Second card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2) = \frac{1}{32} * \frac{1}{32} = 0.0156$
- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*: We draw  $\heartsuit$  two times in a row (putting the first card back)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is  $X\heartsuit$
	- ►  $E_2$ : Second card is  $X\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $p(E) = p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2) = \frac{1}{4} * \frac{1}{4} = 0.0625$
- $\blacktriangleright$  These events are independent
	- $\blacktriangleright$  because we return and re-shuffle the cards all the time
	- **Drawing 8** $\heartsuit$  **the first time has no influence on the second drawing**

### Basics I

#### Conditional Probability (Dependent Events)

- $\blacktriangleright$  We no longer return the card
- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*: We draw 8 $\heartsuit$  two times in a row
	- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>1</sub>: First card is 8 $\heartsuit$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $E_2$ : Second card is 8 $\heartsuit$  (without putting the first card back)
	- $\triangleright$  *p*(*E*<sub>1</sub>, *E*<sub>2</sub>) = *p*(*E*<sub>1</sub>) ∗ *p*(*E*<sub>2</sub>)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  This no longer works, because the events are not independent
	- If There is only one  $8\heartsuit$  in the game, and  $p(E_2)$  has to take into account that it might be gone already
	- $\blacktriangleright$  This is expressed with the notion of conditional probability
	- $\triangleright$  *p*(*E*<sub>1</sub>, *E*<sub>2</sub>) = *p*(*E*<sub>1</sub>) \* *p*(*E*<sub>2</sub>)*E*<sub>1</sub>)
		- $p(E_2|E_1) = 0$ , therefore  $p(E_1, E_2) = 0$

#### Basics II Conditional Probability (Dependent Events)

▶ *E*: We draw  $\heartsuit$  first  $(E_1)$ , followed by:

- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>2</sub>: Second card is *X* $\diamondsuit$
- $\blacktriangleright$  *E*<sub>3</sub>: Second card is *X* $\heartsuit$

$$
p(E_1, E_2) = p(E_1) * p(E_2|E_1) = \frac{8}{32} * \frac{8}{31} = 0.064
$$
  
\n
$$
p(E_1, E_3) = p(E_1) * p(E_3|E_1) = \frac{8}{32} * \frac{7}{31} = 0.056
$$

Example

Relation between **hair color** *H* and preferred **wake-up time** *W* (all numbers are made up.)



Table: Experimental Results,  $\Omega$ : Group of questioned people,  $|\Omega| = 65$ 

#### Example

Relation between **hair color** *H* and preferred **wake-up time** *W* (all numbers are made up.)



Table: Experimental Results,  $\Omega$ : Group of questioned people,  $|\Omega| = 65$ 

If we pick a random person, what's the probability that this person has brown hair?

$$
p(H = \text{brown}) = ?
$$

#### Example

**Relation between hair color**  $H$  **and preferred wake-up time**  $W$  (all numbers are made up.)



Table: Experimental Results,  $\Omega$ : Group of questioned people,  $|\Omega| = 65$ 

$$
p(H = \text{brown}) = \frac{50}{65}
$$
  

$$
p(W = \text{early}) = \frac{30}{65}
$$
  

$$
p(W = \text{late}) = \frac{35}{65}
$$
 sums per row or column

## Conditional and Joint Probabilities

#### Example

Relation between **hair color** *H* and preferred **wake-up time** *W* (all numbers are made up.)



Table: Experimental Results,  $\Omega$ : Group of questioned people,  $|\Omega| = 65$ 

► Joint probability: 
$$
p(W = \text{late}, H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{65}
$$

First probability:  $p(w = \text{acc}, H = \text{bown}) = \frac{65}{65}$ <br>
Probability that someone has brown hair *and* prefers to wake up late

Denominator: Number of all items

# Conditional and Joint Probabilities

#### Example

Relation between **hair color** *H* and preferred **wake-up time** *W* (all numbers are made up.)

 $\downarrow$  *W* / *H*  $\rightarrow$  brown red sum early 20 10 30 late 30 5 35 sum 50 15 65

Table: Experimental Results,  $\Omega$ : Group of questioned people,  $|\Omega| = 65$ 

**I** Joint probability:  $p(W = \text{late}, H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{65}$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Probability that someone has brown hair and prefers to wake up late

- Denominator: Number of all items
- ▶ Conditional probability:  $p(W = \text{late}|H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{50}$ 
	- $\triangleright$  Probability that one of the brown-haired participants prefers to wake up late
	- Denominator: Number of remaining items (after conditioned event has happened)

Example



Example



$$
p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{p(B)}
$$
 definition of conditional probabilities

Example



$$
p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{p(B)}
$$
 definition of conditional probabilities  

$$
p(W = \text{late}|H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{50} = 0.6
$$
 intuition from previous slide

Example



$$
p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{p(B)}
$$
 definition of conditional probabilities  

$$
p(W = \text{late}|H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{50} = 0.6
$$
 intuition from previous slide  

$$
= \frac{p(W = \text{late}, H = \text{brown})}{p(H = \text{brown})}
$$
by applying definition

Example



$$
p(A|B) = \frac{p(A, B)}{p(B)}
$$
 definition of conditional probabilities  
\n
$$
p(W = \text{late}|H = \text{brown}) = \frac{30}{50} = 0.6
$$
 intuition from previous slide  
\n
$$
= \frac{p(W = \text{late}, H = \text{brown})}{p(H = \text{brown})}
$$
by applying definition  
\n
$$
= \frac{0.46}{\sqrt{1.5}} = 0.6
$$
  
\n
$$
= 0.6
$$
Write the information were achieved by subtract term 2024/25

### Multiple Conditions

- $\triangleright$  Joint probabilities can include more than two events  $p(E_1, E_2, E_3, \ldots)$
- $\triangleright$  Conditional probabilities can be conditioned on more than two events

$$
p(A|B, C, D) = \frac{p(A, B, C, D)}{p(B, C, D)}
$$

### Multiple Conditions

- $\triangleright$  Joint probabilities can include more than two events  $p(E_1, E_2, E_3, \ldots)$
- $\triangleright$  Conditional probabilities can be conditioned on more than two events

$$
p(A|B, C, D) = \frac{p(A, B, C, D)}{p(B, C, D)}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Chain rule

$$
p(A, B, C, D) = p(A|B, C, D)p(B, C, D)
$$
  
=  $p(A|B, C, D)p(B|C, D)p(C, D)$   
=  $p(A|B, C, D)p(B|C, D)p(C|D)p(D)$ 

#### Bayes Law

$$
p(B|A) = \frac{p(A, B)}{p(A)} = \frac{p(A|B)p(B)}{p(A)}
$$

Allows reordering of conditional probabilities

 $\blacktriangleright$  Follows directly from above definitions

## <span id="page-39-0"></span>Section 2

[Naive Bayes](#page-39-0)

#### Prediction Model

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic model (i.e., takes probabilities into account)
- $\triangleright$  Probabilities are estimated on training data (relative frequencies)

#### Prediction Model

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic model (i.e., takes probabilities into account)
- $\triangleright$  Probabilities are estimated on training data (relative frequencies)

 $\blacktriangleright$  Setup

- $\blacktriangleright$  A set of features  $f_i$
- ▶ A data set  $x \in X$  (*x* is an individual instance, *X* the entire set)
- $\blacktriangleright$  The feature *value* is given as  $f_i(x)$

#### Prediction Model

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic model (i.e., takes probabilities into account)
- $\triangleright$  Probabilities are estimated on training data (relative frequencies)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Setup
	- $\blacktriangleright$  A set of features  $f_i$
	- ▶ A data set  $x \in X$  ( $x$  is an individual instance,  $X$  the entire set)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  The feature *value* is given as  $f_i(x)$

- ▶ Feature representing "word length"  $f_6$
- $\triangleright$  One data point is "dog"
- $\blacktriangleright$  *f*<sub>6</sub>("*dog*") = 3

#### Prediction Model

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probabilistic model (i.e., takes probabilities into account)
- $\triangleright$  Probabilities are estimated on training data (relative frequencies)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Setup
	- $\blacktriangleright$  A set of features  $f_i$
	- ▶ A data set  $x \in X$  ( $x$  is an individual instance,  $X$  the entire set)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  The feature *value* is given as  $f_i(x)$

- ▶ Feature representing "word length"  $f_6$
- $\triangleright$  One data point is "dog"
- $\blacktriangleright$  *f*<sub>6</sub>("*dog*") = 3

```
You can also think of f_6as a function in a program:
    1 def f(6(x)):
    2 return len(x)
```
Prediction Model

#### Intuition

We calculate the probability for each possible class  $c$ , given the feature values of the item  $x$ , and we assign most probably class

Prediction Model

#### Intuition

We calculate the probability for each possible class *c*, given the feature values of the item *x*, and we assign most probably class

- $\blacktriangleright$   $f_n(x)$ : Value of feature *n* for instance *x*
- $\blacktriangleright$  arg  $\max_i e$ : Select the argument *i* that maximizes the expression *e*



- $\blacktriangleright$   $f_n(x)$ : Value of feature *n* for instance *x*
- $\blacktriangleright$  arg  $\max_i e$ : Select the argument *i* that maximizes the expression *e*



- $\blacktriangleright$   $f_n(x)$ : Value of feature *n* for instance *x*
- $\blacktriangleright$  arg  $\max_i e$ : Select the argument *i* that maximizes the expression *e*

$$
prediction(x) = \underset{c \in C}{\arg \max} p(c|f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x))
$$



- $\blacktriangleright$   $f_n(x)$ : Value of feature *n* for instance *x*
- $\blacktriangleright$  arg  $\max_i e$ : Select the argument *i* that maximizes the expression *e*

$$
prediction(x) = \underset{c \in C}{\arg \max} p(c|f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x))
$$

How do we calculate  $p(c|f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_n(x))$ ?

Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) =
$$

### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,...,f_n) = \frac{p(c, f_1, f_2,...,f_n)}{p(f_1, f_2,...,f_n)}
$$

### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \frac{p(c,f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)} = \frac{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n,c)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}
$$

#### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \frac{p(c,f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)} = \frac{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n,c)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}
$$

denominator is constant, so we skip it ∝ *p*(*f*1|*f*2, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × *p*(*f*2|*f*3, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × · · · × *p*(*c*)

#### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \frac{p(c,f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)} = \frac{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n,c)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}
$$

denominator is constant, so we skip it ∝ *p*(*f*1|*f*2, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × *p*(*f*2|*f*3, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × · · · × *p*(*c*)

Now we – naively – assume feature independence

$$
= p(f_1|c) \times p(f_2|t) \times \cdots \times p(c)
$$

### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \frac{p(c,f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)} = \frac{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n,c)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}
$$

denominator is constant, so we skip it ∝ *p*(*f*1|*f*2, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × *p*(*f*2|*f*3, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × · · · × *p*(*c*)

Now we – naively – assume feature independence

$$
= p(f_1|c) \times p(f_2|t) \times \cdots \times p(c)
$$

$$
prediction(x) = \underset{c \in C}{\arg \max} p(f_1(x)|c) \times p(f_2(x)|c) \times \cdots \times p(c)
$$

### Naive Bayes Prediction Model

$$
p(c|f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \frac{p(c,f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)} = \frac{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n,c)}{p(f_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)}
$$

denominator is constant, so we skip it ∝ *p*(*f*1|*f*2, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × *p*(*f*2|*f*3, . . . , *fn*, *c*) × · · · × *p*(*c*)

Now we – naively – assume feature independence

$$
= p(f_1|c) \times p(f_2|t) \times \cdots \times p(c)
$$

$$
\text{prediction}(x) = \underset{c \in C}{\arg \max} \ p(f_1(x)|c) \times p(f_2(x)|c) \times \cdots \times p(c)
$$
\nWhere do we get

\n
$$
p(f_i(x)|c)? - \text{Training!}
$$

#### Learning Algorithm

- 1. For each feature *f<sup>i</sup>*
	- $\triangleright$  Count frequency tables from the training set:



- 2. Calculate conditional probabilities
	- $\triangleright$  Divide each number by the sum of the entire column

**E.g.**, 
$$
p(a|c_1) = \frac{3}{3+5+0}
$$
  $p(b|c_2) = \frac{7}{2+7+1}$ 

## Section 3

### <span id="page-57-0"></span>[Example: Spam Classification](#page-57-0)

### **Training**

- $\triangleright$  Data set: 100 e-mails, manually classified as spam or not spam (50/50)  $\blacktriangleright$  Classes  $C = \{true, false\}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Features: Presence of each of these tokens (manually selected): 'casino', 'enlargement', 'meeting', 'profit', 'super', 'text', 'xxx'



Table: Extracted frequencies for features 'casino' and 'text'

- 1. Extract word presence information from new text
- 2. Calculate the probability for each possible class



- 1. Extract word presence information from new text
- 2. Calculate the probability for each possible class



- 1. Extract word presence information from new text
- 2. Calculate the probability for each possible class



- 1. Extract word presence information from new text
- 2. Calculate the probability for each possible class



3. Assign the class with the higher probability

### Subsection 1

<span id="page-63-0"></span>[Problems with Zeros](#page-63-0)

### Danger



 $\triangleright$  What happens in this situation to the prediction?

### Danger



- $\triangleright$  What happens in this situation to the prediction?
- At some point, we need to multiply with  $p(\text{love} = 1 | \text{true}) = 0$
- In This leads to a total probability of zero (for this class), irrespective of the other features
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Even if another feature would be a perfect predictor!
- $\rightarrow$  Smoothing (as before)!

## Smoothing

- $\triangleright$  Whenever multiplication is involved, zeros are dangerous
- $\triangleright$  Smoothing is used to avoid zeros
- $\blacktriangleright$  Different possibilities
- $\triangleright$  Simple: Add something to the probabilities
	- $\frac{x_i+1}{N+1}$
	- $\blacktriangleright$  This leads to values slightly above zero

## Summary

- $\blacktriangleright$  Probability theory
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Probability: Fraction of positive over all possible events
	- $\triangleright$  Conditional probability: Restrict the space of possible events
- $\blacktriangleright$  Naive Bayes
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Probability-based classification algorithm
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Assumes feature independence (therefore: "naive")
	- $\triangleright$  Still used in many applications
		- $\blacktriangleright$  E.g., spam classification

### References I

<span id="page-68-1"></span><span id="page-68-0"></span>Jurafsky, Dan/James H. Martin (2023). Speech and Language Processing. 3rd ed. Draft of Janaury 7, 2023. Prentice Hall. URL: <https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/>. Parker, Matt/Hannah Fry (2019). Bayesian Statistics with Hannah Fry. URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7GgLSnQ48os>.